APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SE	CTIONI: BACKGROUNDINFORMATION
A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 6/7/2022
	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Fort Worth District, SWF-2022-00130; Pink Solar Project
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Texas County: Hunt City: Approx. 5 miles NW of Greenville
1.	C
••	Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: McWright Branch and Briar Branch
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the a quatic resource flows: Lake Tawakoni
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Both arein 120100010204 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are a vailable upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are a ssociated with this action and are recorded
	on a different JD form.
ъ	DEVIEW DEDEODMED EOD CITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT ADDI V).
υ.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
	Field Determination. Date(s): April 14, 2022
CE.	
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are No "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part
	9) in the review area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
	Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign
	commerce. Explain: .
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
	TNWs, including territorial seas
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
	Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly a butting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly a butting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	☐ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area (See tables in report):
	Non-wetland waters: No linear feet provided and 3.347 acres
	Wetlands: 0 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: OHWM indicators.
	Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Unknown.
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³
	Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to not be
	jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTIONIII: CWA ANALYSIS

TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

В. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met. The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4. A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law. If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs tributaries that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: -- acres

Drainage area: 167 acres for B3, B4, and B5; 383 acres for A1, A2, C5, C6; 89 acres for A3 & B1; 43 acres for C1; and 66 acres for C2, C3 and C4.

Average annual rainfall: 45 inches Average annual snowfall: 1 inch

(ii)

	Physical Characteristics:
(a)	Relationship with TNW:
	☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
	☐ Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW.
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
	Project waters are 18 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Project waters are 0 to 1 aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
	Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. No Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW5: McWright Branch and Briar Branch both flow to East Caddo Creek to
	Lake Tawakoni.
	Tributary stream order, if known: 1st and 2nd order.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all tha	app	pl	ly	1)	:
------------------------------------------------------	-----	----	----	----	---

(c) Content	transmit endracteristics (encertainmetappi)
Tributary is:	☑ Natural. Explain: Most tributaries are contained within riparian and forested areas
	but are grazed by cattle. Sinuosity patterns are relatively intact.
	☐ Artificial(man-made). Explain:
	☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Tributaries C2 thru Care within crop land
	and receive heavy sediment loads. They are eroding and relative straight.
Tributary prop	erties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width: 1 to 5 feet Average depth: 1 to 5 feet

Average side slopes: 2:1 or lower slope.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Silts □ Sands □ Concrete □ Cobbles □ Gravel □ Muck	
☐ Bedrock	
fields immediately adjacent. Other. Explain:	
Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: C2 thru C4 are eroding an have unstable banks while all others are generally stable with j isolated areas of sloughing from cattle use.	
. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: N/A. Tributary geometry: Relatively straight and meandering	
Tributary gradient (approximate a verage slope): 1-2 %	
(c) <u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: C2-C4 relatively straight while all others are meandering.	
Estimate average number of flow events in review a rea/year: Based on the APT output for the year July	
2017 to July 2018 there were 13 events that exceeded 1 inch which would likely support channel flow	
ephemeral streams. The intermittent reaches have evidence more continual flow based on NM	
Hydrology Protocol Indicators and C5 has the strongest indication of seasonal flow while the other 2 reaches would classify as intermittent but not RPWs.	
Describe flow regime: All are ephemeral except for B 4, C5 and the lower 2/3s of A3.	
Other information on duration and volume:	
Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics Channels are relatively incised so typical flow i confined and timing for most tributaries is discrete.	S
Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:	
☐ Dye (or other) test performed: .	
Tributary has (check all that apply):	
 ☑ Bed and banks ☑ OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply): 	
clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris	
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation	
shelving the presence of wrack line	
 □ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent □ leaf litter disturbed or washed away □ sediment sorting □ scour 	
☐ sediment deposition ☐ multiple observed or predicted flow events	
water staining abrupt change in plant community	
other (list):	
Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: If for stars of the orthogonal the OHWM were used to determine letteral extent of CWA invised in tion (sheeks all that	
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):	
High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:	
oil or scum line a long shore objects survey to a vailable datum;	
☐ fine shell/debris deposits (foreshore) ☐ physical markings; ☐ physical markings/characteristics ☐ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.	
☐ tidalgauges	
□ other(list):	
(iii) Chemical Characteristics:	
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water in channels where present was clear. It is expected that during	
precipitation events suspended sediment levels are high.	
Identify specific pollutants, if known: E. coli from cattle.	
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):	
Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, a verage width): Almost all stream reaches have forested riparian	an
zones ranging in widths of 5 to more than 200 feet wide.	
☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics:	

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

		Habitat for: ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Riparian zones with water sources are well documented corridors for game and non-game wildlife utilization. Forested areas provide for cooler temperatures in streams. ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Pool areas in C% may provided for fils habitat.
2.		ristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW rsical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain:. Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: □ Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an earthen berm east of the wetland.
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	Exp	Chemical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). lain:. tify specific pollutants, if known:.
	(iii) Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	All App For	ristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List proximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. each wetland, specify the following: Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) ze overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

• Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: Streams carry nutrients and organic carbon downstream to TNW; filter pollutants and assist in sediment transport; serve as travel corridor for wildlife. Streams have no adjacent wetlands. Given the lack of aquatic resources on the tract as well as the region, its contributions of flow, organic matter from primary productivity due to hydrologic connectivity to the TNW rises to the level of significance. These streams support natural channel processes as detailed in EPA's The Ecological and Hydrological Significance of Ephemeral and Intermittent Streams in the Arid and Semi-arid American Southwest and Understanding Processes and Downstream Linkages of Headwater Systems (Gomi et al, BioScience Vol. 52 No. 10, October 2002.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

	,
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. ☐ Wetlands a djacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: See previous discussion concerning flow and physical condition of C5. Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): ☐ Tributary waters: 0.139 acres ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. ☐ Identify type(s) of waters: .
	3. Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☑ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): ☐ Tributary waters: 3.208 acres. ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. ☐ Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as a djacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly a butting an RPW:

⁸See Footnote #3.

		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
		Provide a creage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide a creage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: a cres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters and have, when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9 As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	APP	CLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR STRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT PLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Prov	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.		ON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	juri	DLATED - Provide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of isdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated iculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

FAILS SIGN	NIFICANTNEXUS - Provide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not
	gnificant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	tland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
☐ Lakes/p	
	on-wetland waters: acres. List type of a quatic resource: .
☐ Wetland	
SECTIONIV: I	DATA SOURCES.
	NG DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and,
	ted and requested, a ppropriately reference sources below):
	lans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
	eets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	ce concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	ce does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	eets prepared by the Corps: .
	a vigable waters' study:
	ological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
	S NHD data.
	S 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	ological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
	Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
	l wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: .
	ocal wetland inventory map(s): .
	FIRM maps: Online viewer.
	r Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
□ Photogram	aphs: Aerial (Name & Date): All Google Earth Imagery.
	or \(\subseteq \text{Other (Name & Date): Consultant delineation report photos.} \)
	s determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	ble/supporting case law: .
	ble/supporting scientific literature: .
☐ Other in:	formation (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: .

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SE	CTIONI: BACKGROUNDINFORMATION
A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 6/7/2022
B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Fort Worth District, SWF-2022-00130; Pink Solar Project
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State: Texas County: Hunt City: Approx. 5 miles NW of Greenville
1.	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. N, Long. W.
	Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: McWright Branch and Briar Branch
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the a quatic resource flows: Lake Tawakoni
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Both arein 1202100010204
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded
	on a different JD form.
ъ	DEVIEW DEDEODMED FOR CITE EXALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT ARRIV)
υ.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
	Field Determination. Date(s): April 14, 2022
SE	CTIONII: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are No "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part
	in the review area. [Required]
22)	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
	Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign
	commerce. Explain:
	1
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are No "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
	TNWs, including territorial seas
	Wetlands a diacent to TNWs

 	p=
	TNWs, including territorial seas
	Wetlands a djacent to TNWs
	Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly a butting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area (See attached tables):

Non-wetland waters: --linear feet and -- acres total for open water ponds Wetlands: -- acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual and OHWM indicators.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Unknown.

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to not be jurisdictional. Explain: There are 21 upland stock tanks totaling 5.175 acres and 1 isolated wetland totaling 0.068 acre in the assessment area.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): B.

TENTAN A 11 A 1 A 10 A 11 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 10 A 1

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met. The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4. A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law. If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1.	Cna	aracteristics of <u>non-1 N ws tributaries</u> that flow directly or indirectly into 1 N	w
	(i)	General Area Conditions:	

(i)	Gen	neral Area Conditions:			
	Watershed size: acres.				
	Drai	inage area: acres			
		rage annual rainfall: inches			
	Ave	rage annual snowfall: inches			
(ii)		Physical Characteristics:			
	(a)	Relationship with TNW:			
		Tributary flows directly into TNW.			
		☐ Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW.			
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.			
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.			
		Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.			
		Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from RPW.			
		Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. No Explain:			
		Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : .			
		Tributary stream order, if known:.			
		(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):			
		Tributary is: Natural. Explain:			
		☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain:			
		☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:			
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):			
		Average width: feet			
		Average depth: feet			
		Average side slopes: Pick List.			
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):			
		☐ Silts ☐ Sands ☐ Concrete			
		☐ Cobbles ☐ Gravel ☐ Muck			
		☐ Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover:			
		☐ Other. Explain: .			
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:			
		Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: .			
		Tributary geometry: Pick List			
		Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %			
		(c) Flow:			
		Tributary provides for: Pick List			
		Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List			
		Describe flow regime:			

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Surface flow is: Field-List Chameteristics: Subsurface flow: Learners: Subsurface flow: Learners: Subsurface flow: Learners: Subsurface flow: Learners: Learners: Subsurface flow: Learners: Learne				Other information on duration and volume:		
Dye (or other) (est performed:						
Tributary has (chock all that apply): Bed and banks GHVMT (chock all indicators that apply): General Head and such as a state boundaries. Explain: GHA and the Share and the S						•
Gelam banks Gelam banks Gelam banks Gelam banks Gelam banks Gelam, natural line impressed on the bank Gelam, natural line impressed on the bank Gelam banks Gelam bank						
clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil clear control of terrestrial vegetation of the character of soil clear control of terrestrial vegetation of the presence of wards line clear little down, bent, or absent clear little down, bent, or absent clear little down water staining clear little water little down water staining clear little water little wate				☐ Bed and banks		
changes in the character of soil character					_	
shelving shelving shelving sequential matted down, bent, or absent seaf litter disturbed or washed away sequential seaf litter disturbed or washed away secur multiple observed or predicted flow events short flish;						
vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment deposition water staining other (list) multiple observed or predicted flow events sediment deposition water staining other (list) multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining other (list) multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining other (list) multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining water staining water Mark indicated by: water staining wate					П	
leaf litter disturbed or washed away sour multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list); Discontinuous OHWM. Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): ligh Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): ligh Tide Line indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; physical markings; other (list); other characteristics other cha					П	
sediment deposition						
water staining					_	
Discontinuous OHWM. Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:						
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):				other (list):		
High Tide Line indicated by:				☐ Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:	,	
Gil or seum line along shore objects Survey to available datum; Simble shell/debris deposits (foreshore) Physical markings; Physical markings; Vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.						
fine shell/debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings: vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. lidal gauges						
physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. dii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: dentify specific pollutants, if known. Riparian corridor. Characteristics: (type, average width): Riparian corridor. Characteristics: (type, average width): Riparian corridor. Characteristics: (type, average width): Riparian corridor. Characteristics: Riparian corridor. Riparian findings: Riparian corridor. Riparian findings: Riparian corridor. Riparian findings: Riparian corridor. Riparian findings: Riparian						
Gii) Chemical Characteristics: Chamacterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:.						vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known. (iv) Biological Characteristics: Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Gher environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Regional Welland Adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW (i) Physical Characteristics: Welland size: acres Welland size: acres						
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:						
Identify specific pollutants, if known. (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Ghreen of the territorium control of					1	viotar quality; canaral viotarchad abarrataristics ata.) Evalain
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian cornidor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawa areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Properties: Wetland Statics ares					1111,	water quarity, general watersned characteristics, etc.). Explain
Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland finge, Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish'spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Wetland ize: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Explain findings: Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Directly abutting Directly abutting Directly abutting Directly abutting Directly abutting Directly abutting Directly abutting Directly abutting Directly abutting Directly abutting			Ideliti	ry specific politicalits, it known.		
Wetland fringe. Characteristics:		(iv)	Biolo	gical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that ap	ply)	:
Habitat for:						
Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Properties: Aquatic/wildlife diversities: (a) Seneral Wetland State: acres Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Co. Wetland Adiacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Scaparated by berm/barrier. Explain Co. Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.) Explain: Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.) Explain: Chemical Characteristics: Characteristics Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.) Explain: Chemical Characteristics Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.) Explain: Chemical Characteristics Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film						
Gheral Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Wetland Adiacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Constructive with substitute Discrete wetland substitute Discrete Dis			ш			
Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW Physical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Other is Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Other is: Pick List Explain findings: Directly abutting Directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Gelogical connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Other is: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.)						
Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW Physical Characteristics:					s:	
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Not directly abutting Siercet wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:						
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Not directly abutting Siercet wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:	•	Ch-		:	·	- Limatha in to TANY
(a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland size: acres Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain:: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:	۷.				or 1	ndirectly into I NW
Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Not directly abutting Signature wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:		(1)				
Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain:. Surface flow: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Characteristics: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Not directly abutting Sierce wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:			()			
Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain:. Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Not directly abutting Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:						
Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain:. Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:						
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:						
Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:				Floject wettailus closs of serve as state boundaries. Explain.		•
Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:			(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:		
Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:			()			
Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:						
Dye (or other) test performed: .						
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listraerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:				Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: .		
Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:						
Not directly abutting						
Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listraerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:			(c)	☐ Dye (or other) test performed: .		
Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.).			(c)	☐ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting		
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listraerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:			(c)	☐ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting		
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:			(c)	☐ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:		
Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:			(c)	□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain:		
Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:			(c)	□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain:		
Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:				□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Proximity (Relationship) to TNW		
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:				□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW.		
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:				□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNV.	W.	
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:				□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNV. Flow is from: Pick List		
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:				□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNV. Flow is from: Pick List		floodplain.
Explain:		(ii)		□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNV. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick		floodplain.
Identify specific pollutants, if known:.		(ii)	(d)	□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNV. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick Chemical Characteristics:	List	·
		(ii)	(d) Char Expl	□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNV. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick Chemical Characteristics: acterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil ain:.	List	·
		(ii)	(d) Char Expl	□ Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNV. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick Chemical Characteristics: acterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil ain:.	List	·

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Tlbid.

	(III) DIUI	ogical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check al	i mat appry):
		Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width	1):
		Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:.	
		Habitat for:	
		☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:	
		☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .	
		☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain	findings: .
		☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
3.	Character	ristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if a	ny)
	All v	wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis	: Pick List
	App	roximately () acres in total are being considered in the	e cumulative analysis.
	Fore	each wetland, specify the following:	
		Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): ☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. ☐ Identify type(s) of waters:
	3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

⁸See Footnote #3.

		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters and have, when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	APP	LATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR STRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT PLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Prov	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: ride estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.		ON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were a ssessed within the review a rea, these a reas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or a ppropriate Regional Supplements. Review a rea included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce □ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review a rea would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	juri agr	DLATED - Provide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of saliction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated iculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: 5.175 acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of a quatic resource: Wetlands: 0.068 acres.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

		ILS SIGNIFICANTNEXUS - Provide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review a rea that do not et the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that a pply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of a quatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTI	ONIV: DATA SOURCES.
A.		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply-checked items shall be included in case file and, ere checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
		Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: .
		Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	_	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
		Corps na vigable waters' study:
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ✓ USGS NHD data.
		✓ USGS NHDdata. ✓ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
		National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: .
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	\boxtimes	FEMA/FIRM maps: Online viewer.
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): All Google Earth Imagery.
		or Other (Name & Date): Consultant delineation report photoes.
	H	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:
	H	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	H	Other information (please specify):
		constitution (presses speed y).

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: .